

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**MALOETHANOLIC DEACIDIFICATION OF HIGH ACID JUICES
DURING WINE YEAST ALCOHOLIC FERMENTATION**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of
Science in Microbiology at Massey University, New Zealand.

**Frances Alison Ryan
February, 1996**

663.203

Rya

DC20

*I would like to dedicate this thesis to a very special person who has brought much
peace and love to my life.*

J.R.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thankyou to the Dept. of Microbiology and Genetics for research facilities and to the Molecular Genetics Unit, especially Carolyn Young, for all her time and assistance with karyotype analysis. Thanks also to Dr. Richard Morenzoni who also helped guide this part of my research.

To my supervisor, Dr. Gordon Pilone, a very special thanks is extended. Thankyou for all your patience, time and guidance over the last two years. Also to Anne Pilone, thankyou for the translation of various foreign papers.

I would like to thank Paul Mooney of Mission Vineyards and Kate Radburn of C.J.Pask Winery, and all the management and staff at these wineries, for your participation and cooperation in this project. Thanks also to Paul Monk of Lallemmand Industries for providing the yeast strains used in this research.

A grant, for partial research funding from Winegrowers New Zealand is gratefully acknowledged. So too, is a New Zealand Federation of University Womens Scholarship which provided some financial support in the final year of my masterate.

Thanks to my friends and all the staff at Massey. To Karyn, Peter, Lisa, Chris, Anita, Linda, Miranda, Scott, Pete, Tanya and all the rest- thanks for all you kindness and help, and especially for the laughs we all shared.

And to my best friend, Grant, whose understanding, support and love has always been in abundance, a very special thankyou is given.

Finally an enormous thankyou to my mother and father and all my family. Your support and encouragement, especially at the end, has meant so much to me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENT	PAGE
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xii
Abstract	xiii
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Objectives	2
2.0 Literature Review	4
2.1 Winemaking	4
2.2 Yeasts	6
2.2.1 Introduction	6
2.2.2 Yeast Diversity	6
2.2.3 Yeast Growth	9
2.2.4 Yeast Metabolism	11
2.3 Deacidification	15
2.3.1 Introduction	15
2.3.2 Physio-chemical	16
2.3.3 Biological	19
2.4 Genetics	29
2.4.1 Mutagenesis	29
2.4.2 Cell Fusion	30
2.4.3 Extrachromosomal Inheritance	30
2.4.4 Transformation	31

3.0 Materials and Methods	34
3.1 Simulated Grape Juice Fermentations	34
3.1.1 Microorganisms	34
3.1.2 Preparation of Microorganisms	34
3.1.3 Fermentation Media	35
3.1.4 Fermentation Procedure	36
3.1.5 Yeast Mannitol (YM) Agar	36
3.1.6 Peptone Water	36
3.2 Industrial Fermentations	37
3.2.1 Preparation of Microorganisms	37
3.2.2 Juice Preparation	37
3.2.3 Fermentation Procedure	37
3.2.4 Perchloric Acid	38
3.3 Laboratory Grape Juice Fermentations	38
3.3.1 Juice Preparation	38
3.3.2 Fermentation Procedure	39
3.4 Sample Analysis	39
3.4.1 Temperature	39
3.4.2 Titratable Acidity	39
3.4.3 pH	40
3.4.4 Cell Count	40
3.4.5 Brix	40
3.4.6 L-malic Acid	40
3.4.7 D-glucose and D-fructose	41
3.4.8 Alcohol	41
3.4.9 Reducing Sugar Test	41
3.4.10 SO ₂	41

3.5 Statistical Analyses	41
3.5.1 Acid-Ranking Taste Tests	41
3.5.2 Laboratory Grape Juice Fermentations	42
3.5.3 Standard Solutions	42
3.6 Yeast Karyotype Analysis	42
4.0 Results	44
4.1 Standard Solutions	44
4.2 Laboratory Grape Juice Fermentations	46
4.3 Simulated Grape Juice Fermentations	50
4.3.1 Standard Fermentations	50
4.3.2 Variable Temperature Fermentations	55
4.3.3 Variable Malic Acid Concentration Fermentations	60
4.3.4 Variable Nitrogen Concentration Fermentations	63
4.3.4 Variable pH Level Fermentations	66
4.4 Industrial Fermentations	69
4.4.1 Chardonnay Juice Fermentations	69
4.4.2 Sauvignon Blanc Juice Fermentations	74
4.4.3 Acid-Ranking Taste Tests	76
4.4.4 Karyotype Analysis of Yeasts	78
5.0 Discussion	86
5.1 Comparison of Simulated Grape Juice and Commercial Grape Juice Fermentations	87
5.2 Comparison of Variables	89
5.3 Maloethanolic Performance of Yeast Strains	91
5.3.1 Strain EC1118	91

5.3.2 Strain ACID-	93
5.3.3 Strain 71B	93
5.3.4 Strain D432	94
5.3.5 Strain M	96
5.3.6 Strain 442	96
5.3.7 Acid-Ranking Taste Tests	97
5.3.8 Karyotype Analysis	97
 6.0 General Conclusions	 100
 Appendix A	 103
Appendix B	109
Appendix C	114
Appendix D	120
Appendix E	125
Appendix F	130
Appendix G	135
 Literature Cited	 144

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
2.1 Biological deacidification of malic acid.	20
4.1 Percentage reduction in malic acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) of Simulated Grape Juice fermented (18°C) with different yeast strains.	52
4.2 Malic Acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) concentrations during fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice inoculated with yeast strain M.	52
4.3 Malic Acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) concentrations during fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice inoculated with yeast strain 71B.	54
4.4 Initial and final pH values of fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice inoculated with different yeast strains.	54
4.5 Reduction in malic acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) of Simulated Grape Juice fermented at different temperatures with yeast strains E (EC1118) and D (D432).	57
4.6 Malic Acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) concentrations during fermentations (25°C) of Simulated Grape Juice inoculated with yeast strain EC1118.	58
4.7 Initial and final pH values of fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice inoculated with strains E (EC1118) and D (D432).	59
4.8 Reduction in malic acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) of Simulated Grape Juice fermented with varying initial concentrations of malic acid (g/L) with yeast strains EC1118, 71B and D432.	62
4.9 Initial and final pH values of fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice with different initial concentrations of malic acid (g/L) inoculated	

with yeast strains EC1118, 71B and D432.	62
4.10 Reduction in malic acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) of Simulated Grape Juice fermented with varying initial concentrations of nitrogen (mg/L) with yeast strains EC1118, 71B and D432.	65
4.11 Initial and final pH values of fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice with different initial concentrations of nitrogen (mg/L) inoculated with yeast strains EC1118, 71B and D432.	65
4.12 Reduction in malic acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) of Simulated Grape Juice fermented at different initial pH levels fermented (18°C) with yeast strains EC1118, 71B and D432.	68
4.13 pH values of fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice at different initial pH levels inoculated with yeast strains EC1118, 71B and D432.	68
4.14 Reduction in malic acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) of wines vinified in duplicate from commercial Chardonnay juice with strains EC1118, ACID-, 71B and D432.	71
4.15 Malic acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) in commercial Chardonnay juice fermentations (18°C) inoculated with strain 71B.	71
4.16 Initial and final pH values of commercial Chardonnay juice vinified in duplicate with yeast strains EC1118, ACID-, 71B and D432.	73
4.17 Reduction in malic acid (M.A.) and titratable acidity (T.A.) of wines vinified from commercial Sauvignon Blanc juice with strains EC1118, ACID-, 71B and D432.	75
4.18 Initial and final pH values of commercial Sauvignon Blanc juice vinified with yeast strains EC1118, ACID-, 71B and D432.	75
4.19 CHEF electrophoresis of reference strains and isolates removed from fermenting Sauvignon Blanc juice.	79
4.20 CHEF electrophoresis of reference strains and isolates removed from fermenting Sauvignon Blanc juice.	79

4.21 CHEF electrophoresis of isolates removed from fermenting Sauvignon Blanc juice and fermenting Chardonnay juice.	81
4.22 CHEF electrophoresis of reference strains and isolates removed from fermenting Chardonnay juice.	81
4.23 CHEF electrophoresis of isolates removed from fermenting Sauvignon Blanc juice and fermenting Chardonnay juice.	82
4.24 CHEF electrophoresis of isolates removed from fermenting Chardonnay juice and fermenting Sauvignon Blanc juice.	82
4.25 CHEF electrophoresis of isolates removed from fermenting Chardonnay juice and fermenting Sauvignon Blanc juice.	84
4.26 CHEF electrophoresis of reference strains and isolates removed from fermenting Chardonnay juice.	84

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
4.1 Estimation of reproducibility of some analytical methods used in this this research.	45
4.2 Analyses of wines from replicate laboratory grape juice fermentations.	47
4.3 Differences between initial juice and final wine component concentrations and (percent change) of replicate Chardonnay juice fermentations.	48
4.4 Analyses of fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice inoculated with different yeast strains.	51
4.5 Analyses of fermentations at different temperatures of Simulated Grape Juice inoculated with yeast strains E (EC1118) and D (D432).	56
4.6 Analyses of fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice with varying initial concentrations of malic acid.	61
4.7 Analyses of fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice with varying initial concentrations of nitrogen.	64
4.8 Analyses of fermentations (18°C) of Simulated Grape Juice at different pH levels.	67
4.9 Analyses of fermentations of commercial Sauvignon Blanc and Chardonnay juices inoculated with different yeast strains.	70
4.10 Taste trials of Chardonnay wines produced by different yeast strains.	77
4.11 Taste trials of Sauvignon Blanc wines produced by different yeast strains.	77

ABSTRACT

Malic acid is a major acid found in grapes. In countries with cooler climates, such as New Zealand, this acid is not fully respired from grapes and will impart a sour taste on grape juices. Therefore steps must be taken to ensure deacidification of the juice or wine occurs. Deacidification is the process whereby the acidity of a juice or wine is lowered by physical, chemical or biological means. Biological methods of deacidification such as malolactic fermentation and maloethanolic fermentation involve the degradation of malic acid to other products. Malolactic fermentation is the bacterial conversion of malic acid to lactic acid and carbon dioxide and is commonly used in New Zealand wineries. Maloethanolic fermentation is the simultaneous conversion of grape sugars and malic acid to ethanol by specialized yeast strains and is the focus of this investigation.

This research examines several commercially available yeast strains (Lallemand Lalvin strains 71B, ACID-, D432 and reference strains EC1118 and Red Star Montrachet (M)) and *Schizosaccharomyces* strain 442, for their ability to degrade malic acid during grape juice fermentation under New Zealand conditions. A Simulated Grape Juice medium was used to mimic these conditions, as well as commercial Chardonnay and Sauvignon Blanc juices. Strains 71B and D432 consistently degraded the greatest percentage of malic acid under all conditions and parameters investigated in this research. Respectively, these strains degraded malic acid by 36% and 22% of the initial concentration (7.0g/L) in industrial Chardonnay juice fermentations and by 47% and 36% of the initial concentration (3.7g/L) in industrial Sauvignon Blanc fermentations. Furthermore, in Sauvignon Blanc wines, a significant ($P=0.05$) difference was found between the wine made with strain 71B and all other wines. However, in Chardonnay wines, a significant difference was found between the wine made with strain D432 and all other wines. In addition, molecular genetic techniques (CHEF chromosomal banding pattern polymorphisms) were utilised to confirm yeast strain identity.

from industrial fermentations. From this, it was concluded that all strains inoculated into the commercial juices were dominant at the most vigorous stage of fermentation.

Factors influencing malic acid degradation were investigated in Simulated Grape Juice fermentations. These included initial concentrations of malic acid and nitrogen and the initial pH level of the juice. It was found that strains 71B and D432 degraded the greatest percentage of malic acid when the initial malic acid concentration of the juice was high (7.5g/L), the initial nitrogen concentration was low (463mg/L with proline) and an initial pH of pH 3.5.

These results indicate that there is an interaction between yeast and grape variety/maturity, and that proper selection of yeast strain can be used as a tool for deacidification.